

ESD ACCESSION LIST

TRI Call No. 72301
Copy No. 1 of 1 cys.ESD RECORD COPY
RETURN TO
SCIENTIFIC & TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
(TRI), Building 1210

Technical Note

1970-41

T. S. Huang

Two-Dimensional Windows

31 December 1970

Prepared under Electronic Systems Division Contract F19628-70-C-0230 by

Lincoln Laboratory

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Lexington, Massachusetts



AD717159

This document has been approved for public release and sale;
its distribution is unlimited.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
LINCOLN LABORATORY

TWO-DIMENSIONAL WINDOWS

T. S. HUANG

Consultant, Group 64

TECHNICAL NOTE 1970-41

31 DECEMBER 1970

This document has been approved for public release and sale;
its distribution is unlimited.

LEXINGTON

MASSACHUSETTS

The work reported in this document was performed at Lincoln Laboratory, a center for research operated by Massachusetts Institute of Technology, with the support of the Department of the Air Force under Contract F19628-70-C-0230.

This report may be reproduced to satisfy needs of U.S. Government agencies.

ABSTRACT

Two-dimensional windows find applications in many diverse fields, such as the spectral estimation of random fields, the design of two-dimensional digital filters, optical apodization, and antenna array design. Many good one-dimensional windows have been devised, but relatively few two-dimensional windows have been investigated. In this paper we show that good two-dimensional windows can be obtained by rotating good one-dimensional windows. That is, if $w(x)$ is a good symmetrical one-dimensional window, then $w_2(x, y) = w(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$ is a good circularly symmetrical two-dimensional window.

Accepted for the Air Force
Joseph R. Waterman, Lt. Col., USAF
Chief, Lincoln Laboratory Project Office

TWO-DIMENSIONAL WINDOWS

I. Introduction

Two-dimensional windows find applications in many diverse fields, such as the spectral estimation of random fields, the design of two-dimensional digital filters, optical apodization, and antenna array design.

Many good one-dimensional windows have been devised,¹⁻⁴ however, relatively few two-dimensional windows have been investigated.^{5,6} In this paper, we establish a result which enables us to get good two-dimensional windows from good one-dimensional windows.

II. The Problem

We first review briefly the one-dimensional problem. Let the Fourier transform of a function $f(x)$ be $F(u)$. For some reason, we want to truncate $f(x)$:

$$g(x) = f(x) w(x) \quad (1)$$

$$w(x) = 0, \text{ for } |x| > A \quad (2)$$

where A is a constant.

The Fourier transform of $g(x)$ is

$$G(u) = F(u) \otimes W(u) \quad (3)$$

where $W(u)$ is the Fourier transform of $w(x)$, and \otimes denotes convolution. Our problem is to choose an appropriate shape for the window function $w(x)$ such that $G(u)$ is close to $F(u)$ and in any region surrounding a discontinuity of $F(u)$, $G(u)$ will not contain excessive ripples. It is well known that the Fourier transform $W(u)$ of a good window $w(x)$ should have a big central peak and small sidelobes.

In two dimensions, the problem is entirely similar. Let the two-dimensional Fourier transform of a function $f_2(x, y)$ be $F_2(u, v)$, and let

$$g_2(x, y) = f_2(x, y) w_2(x, y) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where } w_2(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } |x^2 + y^2| > A^2 \quad (5)$$

The Fourier transform of $g_2(x, y)$ is

$$G_2(u, v) = F_2(u, v) \otimes W_2(u, v) \quad (6)$$

where $W_2(u, v)$ is the Fourier transform of $w_2(x, y)$. The problem is to choose an appropriate shape for the two-dimensional window function $w_2(x, y)$ such that $G_2(u, v)$ is close to $F_2(u, v)$ and in the neighborhood of a discontinuity of $F_2(u, v)$, $G_2(u, v)$ does not contain excessive ripples.

III. The Result

Intuitively, we feel that if $w(x)$ is a good symmetrical one-dimensional window, then

$$w_2(x, y) = w(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \quad (7)$$

will be a good two-dimensional window.

This is indeed partially verified by the following two examples.

The first example is

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Then the Fourier transform is

$$W(u) = \frac{2 \sin u}{u} \quad (9)$$

whose first side-lobe peak is about 20% of the peak at $u = 0$. The corresponding two-dimensional window

$$w_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |x^2 + y^2| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{for } |x^2 + y^2| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

has the two-dimensional Fourier transform

$$W_2(u, v) = \frac{2\pi J_1(\sqrt{u^2 + v^2})}{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}} \quad (11)$$

whose first side-lobe peak is only about 12% of the peak at $u = 0 = v$.

The second example is

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x, & \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The Fourier transform is

$$W(u) = \left(\frac{\sin \frac{u}{2}}{\frac{u}{2}} \right)^2 \quad (13)$$

whose side-lobe peak is about 4% of the peak at $u = 0$. The corresponding two-dimensional window

$$w_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, & \text{for } |x^2 + y^2| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{for } |x^2 + y^2| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

has a two-dimensional Fourier transform

$$W_2(u, v) = 2\pi \left[\rho^{-3} \int_0^\rho J_0(t) dt - \rho^{-2} J_0(\rho) \right] \quad (15)$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$, whose first side-lobe peak is only about 2% of the peak at $u = 0 = v$.

The above comparisons are, however, unfair. Because when we convolve a window with a discontinuity, what count in the one-dimensional case are the areas under the side-lobes, while in the two-dimensional case what count are the volumes under the side-lobes. A fair comparison would be to look at the result of the convolution. One thing we can say along this line is contained in the following theorem which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem. If a symmetrical one-dimensional window $w(x)$ and a two-dimensional window $w_2(x, y)$ are related by

$$w_2(x, y) = w(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \quad (16)$$

then their Fourier transforms $W(u)$ and $W_2(u, v)$ satisfy the relation

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} W_2(u, v) \otimes H_2(u, v) = W(u) \otimes H(u) \quad (17)$$

where $H(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } u \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{for } u < 0 \end{cases} \quad (18)$

$$H_2(u, v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } u \geq 0 \text{ and all } v \\ 0, & \text{for } u < 0 \text{ and all } v \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

and \otimes denotes convolution.

Proof. We first show that

$$W(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv W_2(u, v) \quad (20)$$

By definition,

$$w_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du dv W_2(u, v) e^{j(xu+yv)}$$

Whence

$$w_2(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du e^{jxu} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv W_2(u, v) \right] \quad (21)$$

But from Eq. (16),

$$w_2(x, 0) = w(x)$$

Therefore from Eq. (21), $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv W_2(u, v)$

is the Fourier transform of $w(x)$. This established Eq. (20).

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} W(u) \otimes H(u) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt W(t) H(u-t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^u dt W(t) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(u, v) \otimes H_2(u, v) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt ds W(t, s) H_2(u-t, v-s) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^u dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds W(t, s) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From Eqs. (22) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(u) \otimes H(u) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^u dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds W(t, s) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} W_2(u, v) \otimes H_2(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Eq. (23).

IV. The Design of Two-Dimensional Non-recursive Ideal Low-Pass Filters

One way of designing one-dimensional non-recursive digital filters is the so-called window method⁷. To fix ideas, let us consider the design of an ideal low-pass filter. The ideal frequency response is

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |u| \leq B \\ 0, & \text{for } |u| > B \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where B is a constant. (This frequency response is actually repeated periodically because the impulse response is sampled). The inverse Fourier transform $f(x)$ of $F(u)$, which is the impulse response, has infinite duration, but in reality we have to use a finite-duration impulse response. So we truncate $f(x)$ by using a window:

$$g(x) = f(x) w(x) \quad (25)$$

$$w(x) = 0, \text{ for } |x| > A \quad (26)$$

where A is a constant. The actual frequency response we are getting is then

$$G(u) = F(u) \otimes W(u) \quad (27)$$

Suppose now we wish to design a two-dimensional ideal low-pass filter with an ideal Frequency response

$$F_2(u, v) = F(\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |u^2 + v^2| \leq B^2 \\ 0, & \text{for } |u^2 + v^2| > B^2 \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

And we truncate the two-dimensional impulse response $f_2(x, y)$ by a two-dimensional window $w_2(x, y)$. Then the actual frequency response we are getting is

$$G_2(u, v) = F_2(u, v) \otimes W_2(u, v) \quad (29)$$

Let us assume that the widths of $W(u)$ and $W_2(u, v)$ are much smaller than B , then near the discontinuities of $F(u)$, viz., $u = \pm B$, $G(u)$ is essentially equal to the convolution of $W(u)$ and a one-dimensional step function, and similarly, near the discontinuities of $F_2(u, v)$, viz., $u^2 + v^2 = B^2$, $G_2(u, v)$ is essentially equal to the convolution of $W_2(u, v)$ and a two-dimensional step function. It therefore follows from our theorem that:

if

$$w_2(x, y) = w(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \quad (30)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} G_2(u, v) \approx G(\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}) \quad (31)$$

This means that we can design a good two-dimensional low-pass filter by using the window $w_2(x, y)$ as given by Eq. (30), if $w(x)$ is a good window to use in designing a good one-dimensional low-pass filter.

V. Summary

We have shown that if $w(x)$ is a good symmetrical one-dimensional window, then $w_2(x, y) = w(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$ is a good circularly symmetrical two-dimensional window.

References

1. R. B. Blackman and J. W. Tukey, The Measurement of Power Spectra (Dover, 1959).
2. R. B. Blackman, Linear Data-Smoothing and Prediction in Theory and Practice (Addison-Wesley, 1965).
3. J. F. Kaiser, "Digital Filters," Ch. 7 in System Analysis by Digital Computer edited by F. F. Kuo and J. F. Kaiser (Wiley, 1966).
4. H. D. Helms, "Non-recursive Digital Filters: Design Methods for Achieving Specifications on Frequency Response," IEEE Trans. on Audio and Electroacoustics, AU-16, 336-342 (September 1968).
5. A. Papoulis, Systems and Transforms with Applications in Optics (McGraw-Hill, 1969).
6. J. L. Allen, "The Theory of Array Antennas (with Emphasis on Radar Applications)," Technical Report 323, Lincoln Laboratory, M.I.T. (25 July 1963), DDC AD-422945.
7. L. R. Rabiner, B. Gold and C. A. McGonegal, "An Approach to the Approximation Problem for Non-recursive Digital Filters," IEEE Trans. on Audio and Electroacoustics, AU-18, 83-106 (June 1970).

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Lincoln Laboratory, M.I.T.		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified
		2b. GROUP None
3. REPORT TITLE Two-Dimensional Windows		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Note		
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Huang, Thomas S.		
6. REPORT DATE 31 December 1970	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 14	7b. NO. OF REFS 7
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. F19628-70-C-0230 b. PROJECT NO. 649L c. d.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Note 1970-41 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) ESD-TR-70-428
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Air Force Systems Command, USAF	
13. ABSTRACT Two-dimensional windows find applications in many diverse fields, such as the spectral estimation of random fields, the design of two-dimensional digital filters, optical apodization, and antenna array design. Many good one-dimensional windows have been devised, but relatively few two-dimensional windows have been investigated. In this paper we show that good two-dimensional windows can be obtained by rotating good one-dimensional windows. That is, if $w(x)$ is a good symmetrical one-dimensional window, then $w_2(x,y) = w(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$ is a good circularly symmetrical two-dimensional window.		
14. KEY WORDS digital filters optical systems antenna arrays		

1

2

3

4

